

## **The new Eurocode 2-1-2: BS EN 1992-1-2:2023**

Jenny Burridge MA CEng FIStructE MICE



#### **Eurocode 2 - 1 - 2**

BS EN 1992-1-2:2023

BS EN 1992-1-2:2004+A1:2019 Incorporating corrigendum July 2008



**Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures** 

Part 1-2: General rules - Structural fire design



Second generation published November 2023

Eurocode 2 - Design of concrete structures

Part 1-2: Structural fire design





### **Eurocode 2: Part 1.2 Structural Fire Design**

- **0-3 General**
- **4 Basis of fire design**
- **5 Material properties**
- **6 Tabulated data**
- **7 Simplified design methods**
- **8 Advanced design methods**
- **9 Detailing**
- **10 Rules for spalling**
- **Annex A – Lightweight concretes**
- **Annex B – Steel fibres**
- **Annex C – Recycled aggregates**
- **Annex D – Slender columns**
- **Annex E – Additional tabulated data for walls**



94 Pages



### **Basis of Fire Design**

- Verification methods  $E_{d,fi} \leq R_{d,fi,t}$  (4.2)
- Member Analysis  $E_{d,fi} = \eta_{fi} E_d$ (1991-1-2)
	- $E_d$  is the design value for normal temperature design
	- $\eta_{fi}$  is the reduction factor for the fire situation

 $\eta_{fi} = (G_k + \psi_{fi} Q_{k,1})/(\gamma_G G_k + \gamma_{O,1} Q_{k,1})$ 







### **Design Procedures**

- Tabulated data (Clause 6)
- Simplified calculation methods (Clause 7)
- Advanced calculation method (Clause 8)



## **Thermal conductivity**

#### • Merged high and low curves for thermal conductivity



#### 5.2.2 Thermal conductivity





### **Specific heat**





The softening branch is indicated for the purpose of numerical calculations.

Table 5.1 — Values for the main parameters of the stress-strain relationships of normal weight<br>concrete with silicopus or relationships at elevated temperatures



(EN1992-1-2:2023)



#### **Cooling and cooled concrete**





#### Table 5.3 - Values for the parameters of the stress-strain relationship of hot rolled and cold worked reinforcing steel at elevated temperatures



## **Section 6. Tabulated Data**



Provides design solutions for the standard fire exposure up to 4 hours

- The tables have been developed on an empirical basis confirmed by experience and theoretical evaluation of tests
- Values are given for normal weight concrete made with siliceous aggregates. Minimum dimensions can be reduced by 10% if using calcareous aggregates.
- Tabulated data shouldn't be used for R 180 and R240 if  $f_{ck} \ge 70MPa$
- No further checks are required for shear, torsion or anchorage
- Linear interpolation may be used, extrapolation shouldn't be used.

### **Columns Tabular Approach**



- Two approaches
- Method A is only for braced structures
- Annex D covers both braced and unbraced columns by considering slenderness





### **Effective length**





### **Columns: Method A**



 $\mu_{fi}$  =  $N_{Ed,fi}/N_{Rd}$ 

*N***Ed,fi is the design axial load in the fire condition**

*N***Rd is the design axial resistance at normal temperature for an effective length = 2l<sub>0,fi</sub>** 

## **Limitations to Method A**

- The structure is braced
- Effective length of the column under fire conditions  $l_{0,fi} \leq 3m$  and  $l_0 \leq 6m$  (rectangular sections)
	- $l_{0,fi} \leq 2.5$ m and  $l_0 \leq 5$ m (circular sections)
- First order eccentricity under fire conditions:

*M*<sub>0Ed,fi</sub> / *N*<sub>Ed,fi</sub> ≤ 0.25 *h* 

• Amount of reinforcement:

 $A_{s} \leq 0.04 A_{c}$ 



#### **Method B - Annex D**

#### Table D.4 — Maximum permissible effective column length  $l_0$  for braced and unbraced columns: R 90





### **Parameters required for Annex D**

 $b, h$ Dimensions of column cross-section,  $b \leq h$ ;

- Degree of utilization in the fire situation:  $\mu_{\rm fi} = \frac{\left| N_{\rm Ed, fi} \right|}{N_{\rm Rd}}$  ;  $\mu_{\rm fi}$
- First order eccentricity of the axial forces, equal for  $N_{\rm Rd}$  and  $N_{\rm Ed,fi}$ ;  $e_0$
- Axis distance of the main reinforcing steel bars;  $\boldsymbol{a}$
- Modified mechanical reinforcement degree  $\omega_{\text{mod}} = \frac{2 \min (A_{s0}, A_{s1}) f_{\text{yd}}}{A f}$ , while  $A_{s0}$  and  $A_{s1}$  $\omega_{\text{mod}}$

are defined in  $(5)$ ;

- The design axial load in the fire condition;  $N_{\rm Ed,fi}$
- $N_{\rm{Rd}}$ The design axial load resistance under ambient condition.



## **Worked example**

300 x 300 column, C30/37 concrete, storey height of 3.5m at top of building with 4H12 bars as main rebar. Check that this is suitable for an R90 requirement.

- Take  $\mu_{fi} = 0.3$ ,  $e_0 = 75$ mm, a = 45mm
- Calculate  $\omega$ : 4H12 = 452mm<sup>2</sup>
- $\omega = A_s f_{yd} / A_c f_{cd} = (452*435) / (300^{2}*17) = 0.13$
- Take  $l_{0,fi} = 0.7 l$
- From table



### **Annex D**

#### Table D.4 – Maximum permissible effective column length  $l_0$  for braced and unbraced columns: R 90



Max effective length = 3.9m Actual effective length - 0.7\*3.5

= 2.45m



### **Walls**





## **Walls**

- Four tables given, two in the main part and two in Annex E
- Effective lengths of 2.5m, 4.5m, 6m and 8m
- Assume that  $l_{0,fi}/l_0 = 0.5$  otherwise calculate N<sub>Rd</sub> for an effective length of  $2l_{0,fi}$
- Structure is braced
- First order eccentricity doesn't exceed 25% b
- Clear height to thickness  $\leq 40$
- The exposure of the wall ends does not affect the fire resistance for walls exposed on both sides, provided the minimum axis distance is met.
- Interpolation between the tables is allowed



#### **Continuous Beams**

#### •Table 6.7



٠ŀ ر ب، values.

For  $a_{sd}$ , see  $6.6.2(2)$ NOTE<sub>2</sub>

Normally the cover required by EN 1992-1-1 will be larger.



#### **Flat Slabs**



Normally the cover required by EN 1992-1-1 will be larger. a



## **Chapter 7: simplified design methods**

The simplified formulae are based on the following assumptions:

- The emissivity related to the concrete surface is 0.7 .
- The thermal conductivity of concrete is as given;
- The specific heat of concrete assumes a moisture content of 1.5 %, (the formulae are conservative for moisture contents greater than 1.5 %);
- The density of concrete at  $20^{\circ}$ C is 2300 kg/m<sup>3</sup>;
- The convection factor is  $25 W/(m^2 \cdot K)$ .



## **General formula for one-sided exposure**

$$
\theta(x,t) = \theta_1(x,t) + 20\text{°C}
$$

$$
\theta_1(x,t) = 345 \cdot \lg_{10} \left( \frac{7(t-\Delta t)}{60} + 1 \right) \cdot \exp\left(-x\sqrt{\frac{k}{t}}\right)
$$

 $(7.2)$ 

 $(7.3)$ 

- is the duration of the standard fire (in seconds),  $t \ge 1800$  s; t
- is the distance from the exposed surface (in m);  $\boldsymbol{X}$
- $\Delta t = 720$  s represents a delay between the temperature in the fire compartment and the concrete surface temperature as an approximation for the effects of convection and radiation;

 $k = 3.10^6$  s/m<sup>2</sup>



## **Temperature profile**





## **Spalling**

#### Table 10.1 - Overview of the rules for spalling





## **Spalling clauses**

- 10(7) Protective layers can be used
- 10(8) Spalling can be taken into account by considering the loss of strength due to a reduced cross-section. The extent of spalling can be based on experimental assessment
- 10(9) If an experimental assessment is undertaken it should be based on representative conditions (geometry, stress and moisture content)
- 10(10) Polypropylene fibres  $(2kg/m<sup>3</sup>)$  can be used to mitigate spalling
- For lightweight concrete a specific assessment of spalling should be done whatever the strength of the concrete.



## **Recycled aggregates**

- In Annex C, which is informative
- NA committee currently considering whether to adopt
- If 20% recycled aggregate or less are used then the typical properties can be assumed, otherwise properties should be based on experimental evidence.
- Specific assessment of spalling should be undertaken, or polypropylene fibres added





# **Thank you**